**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY**

**W.P.No.25988 of 2005**

***Date:02.01.2006***

**Between:**

S.Srinivasulu

-------PETITIONER

AND

The Divisional Manager and another.

---------RESPONDENTS

**ORDER:**

The petitioner hired two buses bearing Nos.AP24U 4995 and AP24U 4949 to the Khammam Depot of A.P.S.R.T.C. Through the office order, dated 27.10.2005, the Divisional Manager, Khammam, the first respondent herein, permitted the Depot Manager, Khammam, the second respondent herein, to recover a sum of Rs.1,44,557/- in twelve instalments of Rs.12,557/- from the fortnightly bills payable to the petitioner. The petitioner challenges the said office order.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned standing counsel for the respondents.

Some disputes existed between the petitioner and the respondents as to the actual hire charges payable to the petitioner. In W.P.No.20388 of 2005, this Court held that the petitioner is entitled to be paid the hire charges on the basis of the distance mentioned in the relevant agreements. The petitioner states that he has not been informed the basis for effecting recovery of a sum of Rs.1,44,557/-. The impugned order also does not disclose the reason, when such a huge amount is sought to be recovered. The respondents must intimate the basis for the same.

Hence, the writ petition is disposed of, directing the respondents herein to inform the

petitioner as to the basis, on which the amount covered under the office order, dated 27.10.2005, is sought to be recovered. The necessary information shall be furnished to the petitioner before the next instalment is recovered. It shall be open to the petitioner to submit such objections, as he intends to. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

02.01.2006

Note: Issue C.C. by Friday.

(B/o)

kdl